


Understanding Sustainable Development – AGENDA 21 –



For the People and their Public Officials

Prepared by

FREEDOM ADVOCATES
Recognize Unalienable Rights

www.FreedomAdvocates.org

FREEDOM ADVOCATES.ORG

Recognize Unalienable Rights

Table of Contents:

Introduction	1
What is Sustainable Development?	2
Historical Development and Origins	2
The Antithetical Foundations of Liberty and Sustainable Development	3
Implementing Sustainable Development	5
Equity: Using the Law to Restructure Human Nature	5
Economy: The Redistribution of Wealth and the Creation of Public Private Partnerships	6
Environment: Nature Above Man	7
Educating Youth: Molding the Minds of Tomorrow	7
Stakeholder Councils: Restructuring American Government	8
ICLEI	10
Regionalism	11
Funding Sources	13
Political Support	13
Sustainable Development Land Use Programs	15
The Wildlands Network	15
Smart Growth	16
NAFTA Superhighway Trade Corridor and Toll Road System	18
What You Can Do	19
Six Practical Steps to Restore and Protect Liberty	20

For cover image details see page 15

Freedom AdvocatesSM • P.O. Box 3330 • Freedom, CA 95019
831.684.2232

Second Edition (Updated) - 2010, 2012

Freedom Advocates is a 501(c)(3) public benefit corporation.
Your tax deductible contribution is valuable for the continuation of our work.
© Copyright 2012. FreedomAdvocates.org

Introduction

You may have heard people talking about Sustainable Development – in public meetings, on television and on the radio. Consultants talk about it, university professors lecture on it, and at all levels of government, it is now being mandated. But what is Sustainable Development?

That is precisely the question this guide is intended to address. In the following pages, you will read of the origins of Sustainable Development, its theoretical underpinnings, its major programs, and how it is implemented.

When you have finished reading this document, you will have the knowledge necessary to begin identifying the vast array of Sustainable Development programs that exist and continue to arise.

Please recognize this document for what it is: a unique opportunity to learn more about Sustainable Development. Then you can make a difference in your town by supporting present and future actions that restore and protect the rights and well-being of you, your family, and your neighbors.

More information on the nature and consequences of Sustainable Development is available from Freedom Advocates. <http://www.FreedomAdvocates.org>

What is Sustainable Development?

The most common definition of Sustainable Development given by its proponents is a statement found in the Brundtland Report, *Our Common Future*, released during the 1987 United Nations World Commission on Environment and Development:

“Development that meets the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs.”

– Gro Harlem Brundtland

Historical Development and Origins

Even the term “sustainable” must be defined, since on the surface it appears to be inherently positive. In reality, Sustainable Development has become a “buzz” term that refers to a political agenda, rather than an objectively sustainable form of development. Specifically, it refers to an initiative of the United Nations (U.N.) called Sustainable Development Agenda 21. Sustainable Development Agenda 21 is a comprehensive statement of a political ideology that is being progressively infused into every level of government in America.

Known around the world simply as Agenda 21, this initiative is “a comprehensive plan of action to be taken globally, nationally, and locally by organizations of the United Nations System, Governments, and Major Groups in every area in which human impacts [sic] on the environment.”¹

Agenda 21 was unveiled in 1992 during the United Nations Conference on Environment and Development (UNCED), commonly known as the Rio Earth Summit, where more than 178 nations adopted Agenda 21, and pledged to evaluate progress made in implementing the plan every five years thereafter. President George H. W. Bush was the signatory for the United States.²




Image 1: The United Nations website clearly displays Agenda 21 documents

1. <http://www.un.org/esa/dsd/agenda21/>

2. <http://www.c-spanvideo.org/program/RioEarthSum>

Although Congress never authorized the implementation of Agenda 21³ (as a soft-law policy recommendation⁴ – *not* a treaty – it needs no ratification), in 1993, President Bill Clinton established, by Executive Order 12852, the President’s Council on Sustainable Development (PCSD) for the purpose of implementing Agenda 21 in the United States. The PCSD operated through 1999, but its actions to promote Sustainable Development have taken root, and now exert an increasing influence across America.

International organizations such as the U.N., and its accredited Non-Governmental Organizations (NGOs), generally consider Sustainable Development and Agenda 21 to be synonymous. Therefore, in order to avoid confusion and equivocation, Sustainable Development will be the term used throughout this document to refer to both. Agenda 21 will only be used to refer to the actual document from the Rio Earth Summit.

At times the political agenda embodied in Sustainable Development is implemented under other names for purposes of political expediency. J. Gary Lawrence, a planner for the City of Seattle and advisor to the President’s Council on Sustainable Development said in 1998, *“Participating in a U.N. advocated planning process would very likely bring out many...who would actively work to defeat any elected official...undertaking Local Agenda 21. So we will call our process something else, such as “comprehensive planning,” “growth management,” or “smart growth.”*⁵

The Antithetical Foundations of Liberty and Sustainable Development

“Property must be secured, or liberty cannot exist.”

– John Adams

It has long been known that liberty is tied to the institution of private property. The Decalogue codified private property in four words: “Thou shalt not steal.”

“Private property and freedom are inseparable.”

– George Washington

3. Rep. Nancy Pelosi (D-CA) submitted a resolution (H.J. Res. 166) to the 103rd Congress on March 29, 1993 urging the President and Congress to “assume a strong leadership role in implementing the decisions made at the Earth Summit by developing a national strategy to implement Agenda 21 and other Earth Summit agreements...” Though that bill stalled in the Subcommittee on Economic Policy, Trade and Environment, its recommendations have been implemented through various actions by the President, and Congress.

4. “Soft law” policy is not binding. This is a common procedure in the U.N.’s policy development strategy. “Soft law” documents are quite often followed by treaties or covenants, which are binding international law; alternately, soft law can find immediate application through local legislation or policy without an internationally binding agreement.

5. Lawrence, J. Gary, *The Future of Local Agenda 21 in the New Millennium*, The Millennium Papers, UNED-UK, Issue 2, (1998), 3.

The institution of private property is understood by those who participate in the American Experiment⁶ as its principles are included in the Declaration of Independence, the Constitution and the Bill of Rights.⁷ The right to property as outlined in those documents are premised on an owner's determination of its use, provided that such use does not disturb the equal rights of another.

“...all Men...are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are Life, Liberty, and the Pursuit of Happiness.”
– *The Declaration of Independence*

In contrast to the unalienable rights protected in America's founding documents, the United Nations' Charter and the Declaration of Human Rights are based on a very different idea: rights are granted and rescinded by men.

The Sustainable Development political agenda originates in the founding documents of the United Nations. This isn't surprising, since the myriad countries represented in the drafting of Agenda 21 have widely divergent forms of government, and must have a point of agreement (a “least common denominator”) to rally around – and the U.N. Charter provides that point. However, for progress to be made in implementing Sustainable Development in the United States, unalienable rights such as the right to property must be eroded, attacked, and struck down altogether.^{8,9}

Which Philosophy of Rights?

Nature Source	Individual Rights <i>U.S. Declaration of Independence</i>	Community Rights <i>U.N. Declaration of Human Rights</i>
Purpose of government	Protect the natural or unalienable rights of each individual “That all Men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable rights...”	Control the individual for the greater good of a global community “Rights and freedoms may in no case be exercised contrary to the purposes and principles of the United Nations”
In short	You're born with rights, government exists to protect them. You and the product of your labor belong to you.	Government grants, restricts or withdraws your rights according to its needs. You and the product of your labor belong to the community. © 2007 FREEDOMADVOCATES.ORG

6. Soapes, Emily Williams. “The American Experiment: Living with the Constitution.” Prologue: Journal of the National Archives 19, no.3 (Fall 1987): 185-189.

7. See also Machan, Tibor, Private Rights & Public Illusions, Transaction Publishers, New Brunswick (1995).

8. Nullification of the right to the reasonable use of one's property affects by extension the right to private action and the freedom of expression. Shaw, Michael, What is Private Property? Liberty Garden (2003).

9. Heywood, V.H. (ed.). Global Biodiversity Assessment. United Nations Environment Programme. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1995): 767, 782. This document likewise condemns “inappropriate social structures” (p 763), golf courses (p 970), and the attitudes toward nature found in “Judeo-Christian-Islamic religions” (pp 766, 838).

Implementing Sustainable Development

The authors of Agenda 21 have said it will affect every area of life, grouped according to three objectives: Equity, Economy, and Environment (known commonly as the “3 Es”). By defining these terms vaguely, a litany of abuses have resulted. Furthermore, by rubber-stamping pre-conceived plans, using manipulative “visioning” sessions to garner the appearance of public buy-in, and acquiring grants from sources with questionable motives, the entire process of implementing Sustainable Development policies is suspect.



Equity:

Using the Law to Restructure Human Nature

The authors of the Sustainable Development action plan recognized that their environmental and economic objectives, and the corresponding transformation of the American system of equal justice to a system of “social” justice, are radically divergent from the views and objectives of the average person. Therefore, in order to achieve their objectives, they call for a shift in attitudes that can be seen in the educational programs developed by its proponents. This is the premise of Sustainable Development: That individual human wants, needs and desires are to be conformed to the views and dictates of the community (Communitarianism). Harvey Ruvin, Vice Chair of the International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI) and Clerk of the Circuit and County Court in Miami-Dade County, Florida has said, “*individual rights will have to take a back seat to the collective*” in the process of implementing Sustainable Development.¹⁰

10. Peros, Joan, unpublished report, UNCED Rio+10 Summit – Johannesburg, South Africa (2002).

Economy: The Redistribution of Wealth and the Creation of Public Private Partnerships

**“...current lifestyles and consumption patterns
of the affluent middle class – involving high meat intake,
use of fossil fuels, appliances, home and work air conditioning,
and suburban housing are not sustainable.”**

*– Maurice Strong,
Secretary General, U.N. Conference on Environment and Development, 1992.
(Also known as the Rio Earth Summit, where Agenda 21 was unveiled.)*

According to its preamble, “The developmental and environmental objectives of Agenda 21 will require a substantial flow of new and additional financial resources to developing countries.”

Language throughout Agenda 21 erroneously assumes that life is a zero-sum game (the wealth of the world was made at the expense of the poor, making them even poorer). This critique of economic ills denies the ingenuity of private action, individual determination, and truly free-market innovation, and leads inevitably to the conclusion that if the conditions of the poor are to be improved, wealth must be taken from the rich. Sustainable Development embodies this unjust redistribution of wealth both in theory and in implementation, effectively lowering the standard of living for poor and middle class people.

The Draft Covenant on Environment and Development states in Article 8: “equity will be achieved through implementation of the international economic order...and through transfers of resources to developing countries....” In fact, such justification covers up the real transfer of power and resources to the elite cabal that drives world government.

In addition to its appeal for the international redistribution of wealth, Sustainable Development is actually restructuring the economy, molding it not on private enterprise but on public private partnerships.

Public Private Partnerships bring businesses desiring the protection offered by government’s legalized force together with government agents that want the power that comes with economic control. The power of economics, and the force of government, must serve as a check and balance on each other; combining the two will ultimately result in tyranny. Free enterprise is lost amid subsidies, incentives, tax-breaks, and insider privilege, and with it goes the notion that the customer is the final determiner of how resources are allocated in production. The Sustainable Development “partnerships” involve some domestic corporations, most multinational, many tax-exempt foundations, select individuals, and collectivist politicians and their administrations.

Environment: Nature Above Man

Americans support laws and regulations that are designed to effectively prevent pollution of the air, water, or the property of another. Yet, it is increasingly clear that Sustainable Development uses the environment simply as the means to promote a political agenda. For example, Al Gore says that Sustainable Development will bring about “a wrenching transformation” of American society.¹¹

Sustainable Development is ostensibly concerned with the environment; it is more concerned with restructuring the governmental system of the world’s nations so that all the people of the world will be the subjects of a global collective. Many of its proposed implementation strategies require the surrender of unalienable rights.

This fact alone casts a serious shadow of doubt on the motives of Sustainable Developers who would discard the unalienable rights to life, liberty, and property in order to pursue dubious programs.¹² When Sustainable Development is implemented, ordinary people will be left unprotected from *de facto* decrees placing nature above man, while relegating man to the status of a “biological resource.”¹³

Educating Youth: Molding the Minds of Tomorrow

**“All who have meditated on the art of governing mankind
have been convinced that the fate of empires
depends on the education of youth.”**
– Aristotle

To ensure continuing support of their anti-human programs, Sustainable Developers mold the minds of the next generation. Chapter 25 of the U.N. Sustainable Development Agenda 21 calls for the need to “enlist and empower children and youth in reaching for sustainability.”

Even a cursory look at the federally-mandated curriculum being taught in classrooms would show that the doctrines of Sustainable Development are finding their way into every subject. French classes teach students to “save the earth;” economics classes feature lectures that discourage individual initiative in the marketplace and decry private ownership; history classes obscure the importance of America’s founding documents; mandatory “service-learning” programs enlist students to work for government-approved Sustainable Development partner organizations.

The list goes on and on.

11. “Minor shifts in policy, marginal adjustments in ongoing programs, moderate improvements in laws and regulations, rhetoric offered in lieu of genuine change – these are all forms of appeasement, designed to satisfy the public’s desire to believe that sacrifice, struggle, and a wrenching transformation of society will not be necessary.” Gore, Al, *Earth in the Balance*. Plume (1993): 274.

12. cf. Taylor, Jerry, *Sustainable Development: A Dubious Solution in Search of a Problem*, Cato Institute (2002).

13. Bureau of Land Management, Internal Working Document for ecosystem management, (March 1994).

While taxpayers foot the bill for the increasing costs of government education, parents are increasingly shut out of decisions crucial to the molding of their child's mind. Controversial programs designed for "values clarification" are being performed in government schools that employ powerful behavior control techniques and peer pressure to make a developing child question his or her individual worth and values, and are designed to disrupt parental oversight in the upbringing of their children.¹⁴

Stakeholder Councils: Restructuring American Government

"I believe there are more instances of the abridgement of the freedom of the people by gradual silent encroachments of those in power, than by violent and sudden usurpations."

– James Madison

The way that Sustainable Development is carried out in local communities around the world is particularly alarming, especially to those who seek accountability in government. Operating within a system of stakeholder councils, organized to give third parties a "stake" in the control over property in neighborhoods, proponents of Sustainable Development systematically promote their own ideas and marginalize any local opposition, particularly those individuals who advocate the freedom to use and enjoy private property.

The product of a stakeholder council, often called a "consensus statement" or a "vision statement," is typically approved by local governments without question, requiring citizens to submit to the predetermined conclusions of a non-elected regional authority that is not accountable to the voters.


Stakeholder council meetings are typically arranged under the auspices of soliciting input from community members on a project. This project may be initiated by local public officials, local, regional or national non-profit organizations, NGOs, and/or public private partners.¹⁵ Anyone from your next-door neighbor to someone from another town initially promotes the "visioning" plan or process. Realize that these smiling faces are not working in your best interest. They are typically connected politically or through their careers to a group working with an agenda.

A typical stakeholder council meeting is run by a trained facilitator.¹⁶ It is not the facilitator's job to make sure that all views are entered into the record. His job instead, is to guide the group to arrive at a consensus on the project. The consensus process uses the Delphi Technique and has no mechanism for recording minority views. Since he is being paid by the organization responsible for the project, it is in his interest to arrive at a consensus sympathetic to the desired outcome of the project. Tactics vary between the facilitators, but consensus generally is reached by using subtle means to marginalize

14. http://www.freedomadvocates.org/articles/education_transformation/aptos_high_school_%22crosses_the_line%22_with_secret_behavior_control_exercise_20060927321/

15. Recall that civil society actors and many Non-Governmental Organizations are accredited by the U.N., making them international, or multinational in their political purpose. In this sense, they might be more appropriately called "Global Governance Organizations."

16. Professional facilitators are frequently paid thousands of dollars for only a few hours of work.



opposition, such as recording only the “good” ideas, and allowing criticism only for the “bad” ideas.¹⁷

A Sustainable Development stakeholder meeting in Greenville, South Carolina, was adjourned with a frank admission by the paid facilitator that they had not reached the consensus that he needed to support the predetermined plans.¹⁸

Why all the effort to gain support for programs few citizens want? The answer to this question lies in the origin of each specific project. Sustainable Development projects are often initiated at the directive of NGOs or non-profit organizations that have – or create – fear over problems that are portrayed as a crisis: development near a riparian corridor, poor water management infrastructure, or too many cars on the freeway are common examples.

17. Eakman, B.K. How to Counter Group Manipulation Tactics: The Techniques of Unethical Consensus-Building Unmasked. Midnight Whistler Publishers, Raleigh, N.C., 2011.

18. Dill, Bob, Land Use Leaders Declare Defeat; Wrong Consensus Reached, Meetings Cancelled, Times-Examiner, Greenville, South Carolina. Steven Lipe, the meeting organizer, announced that “the consensus is that we don’t have enough people to make change. As far as I am concerned, our meeting is done.”

ICLEI

Once a problem has been identified, every NGO, non-profit, and local government body has a vast stock of Sustainable Development solutions at hand, provided by the International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives (ICLEI). Indeed, ICLEI has a veritable treasure trove of boilerplate solutions for change agents, enabling them to “identify” problems with the goal of implementing predetermined outcomes that advance Sustainable Development policies.¹⁹



ICLEI: Local Governments for Sustainability
is directing policies that cause:

- **stack'em and pack'em surveilled housing**
- **traffic congestion**
- **inaccessible open space**
- **managed control over our lives**
- **mismanagement of water supplies**
- **prohibition on natural resource management that leads to increased fire hazards and private property restrictions**

Image 2: ICLEI, aka The International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives

ICLEI was launched in 1990 at the World Congress of Local Governments for a Sustainable Future. ICLEI is headquartered in Bonn, Germany and has offices around the globe, including a U.S. office in Oakland, California. Its stated mission is to provide policy recommendations to assist local governments in the implementation of Sustainable Development.

ICLEI was instrumental in the development of Agenda 21, having drafted Chapter 28 in 1991 in preparation for the 1992 Earth Summit. ICLEI reaffirmed its dedication to the U.N. 2002 Earth Summit mandate: “Local Action 21 strategies will ensure the unwavering, systematic implementation of local action plans over the next decade.”²⁰

Essentially, Sustainable Development claims knowledge of all sustainability issues and has stock solutions that can be applied in Stockholm, Boulder, Santa Cruz – indeed, anywhere.

Around the world, ICLEI is responsible for communicating with local special interests to translate international policy objectives into local and regional legislation.²¹ Every county in America now has Sustainable Development directives guided by federal agencies, NGOs and/or ICLEI.

19. cf. Taylor, Jerry, op cit.

20. Otto-Zimmerman, Konrad, Local Action 21: Motto, Mandate, Movement, International Council for Local Environmental Initiatives, Toronto (2003): 2. See ICLEI’s website for more information: <http://www.iclei.org>.

21. Note that ICLEI’s objectives presuppose the notion that the goal of improving the conditions of the world can only be achieved through legislation, denigrating the intelligence and ingenuity of individuals in facing their particular circumstances, and placing them under the increasing oversight of government planners.

The number of communities with citizens working to remove ICLEI is expanding as people begin to tie the links between ICLEI and Sustainable Development.²²

Regionalism²³

The political structure of America has been transformed. This has occurred quietly over the last 50 years without public awareness of the mechanisms underlying the change. Today, the effect of a communitarian philosophic approach to government dominates public expectations and legislative agendas. Gone are the days when government was limited, where individuals were politically acknowledged to possess unalienable rights, and where money was honest. At the core of this transformation is the political process of “regionalizing” the country. Political regionalism is the antithesis of representative government. Regionalism restructures or reinvents the operation of government. Regionalism is the “blueprint” for your serfdom. It has infiltrated cities and counties everywhere, affecting transportation, water, farming and land use systems... literally every aspect of your life.

Regionalism is being used to destroy traditional political boundaries, such as county lines. Regionalism ushers in a transformed system of governance that ultimately abolishes private property.


Let's take a look at an excerpt from the United Nations' Agenda 21 document concerning transportation planning:

Earth Summit – Agenda 21: The United Nations Programme of Action

Chapter 7 – Human Settlements

Section 7.52: Promoting...urban transport systems...should be a comprehensive approach to urban-transport planning and management. To this end, all countries should:

- ...encourage development patterns that reduce transport demand
- Adopt urban-transport programmes favouring high-occupancy public transport...
- Encourage non-motorized modes of transport by providing safe cycleways and footways in urban and suburban centres...



Towns across the country are adopting these transport systems. This is because these systems are imposed upon locales by a regional level of government largely unknown and when known, underestimated.

Regionalism might sound benign, but the consequences must be understood by freedom loving people or liberty will be trampled.

22. http://www.freedomadvocates.org/articles/illegitimate_government/iclei_primer%3a_your_town_and_freedom_threatened_20090804364/

23. From Michael Shaw's lecture series (Part 1) "The Ultimate War: Globalism vs. America," available at: <http://www.FreedomAdvocates.org>.

Citizens must know about the following regional planning and development agencies:

- Council of Governments (COG)
- Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO)

Council of Governments (COG)

COGs are region-wide associations of local governments – regional bodies, typically defined to serve an area of several counties to address issues such as regional and municipal planning, economic and community development, cartography and Government Information Systems (GIS), hazard mitigation and emergency planning, aging services, water use, pollution control, transit administration, and transportation planning.

Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO)

MPOs are federally mandated and, like COGs, are the instruments for restructuring American government. They are setting up an infrastructure for a new economic system based on public private partnership to replace free enterprise.

COGs and MPOs are federalized organizations that break down America's constitutionally formulated government structure. Their purpose is to control and direct local government from behind the scenes.

Today, they propel the federal injection of the globalist agenda into local government policy and thereby negate the protections afforded by our constitutional system of government.

In the words of Charlotte Iserbyt, former Department of Education official and author of *The Deliberate Dumbing Down of America*, "Regionalism is Communism."²⁴

Regionalism promotes soviet style councils that develop policy that is then rubber-stamped by elected officials, with no meaningful public oversight. It is an extra level of government that operates outside the provisions of the Constitution, thus advancing globalist objectives whilst insulating most elected officials.

In short, regionalism works to advance the globalist goals of political restructure:

- To implement a step-by-step approach to the abolition of private property;
- To promote the relocation of people from rural areas to Smart Growth urban centers;
- To conscript public private partners and mandate community volunteerism.

Consequently, government no longer operates the way traditional eighth grade textbooks explained it.

24. Iserbyt, Charlotte. "Regionalism is Communism." NewsWithViews.com, February 4, 2004, <http://www.newswithviews.com/iserbyt/iserbyt13.htm>.



Funding Sources

The list of money sources for the implementation of Sustainable Development is impressive. American taxes fund the federal agencies' present focus: implementing Sustainable Development. Thousands of NGOs are accredited by the United Nations for the purpose of implementing Sustainable Development in America, and are given massive tax advantages. Some of these NGOs are the Nature Conservancy, the Sierra Club, the National Audubon Society, the American Planning Association, the National Teachers Association, the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, and the American Farm Bureau Federation.

After government and non-profit funding schemes, the third "leg" of the Sustainable Development financial insiders is a group of tax-exempt foundations. These include the Rockefeller Foundation, Pew Charitable Trusts, the Turner Foundation, the David and Lucille Packard Foundation, the James Irvine Foundation, the Carnegie Foundation, the McArthur Foundation, Community Foundations, and many more.

Political Support

When President George H. W. Bush signed the Rio Accords at the Earth Summit in Rio de Janeiro in 1992, he pledged the United States government's support for Agenda 21. A year later, when President Bill Clinton created the President's Council on Sustainable Development by Executive Order 12852, he

laid the foundation for a proliferation of federal agencies and local “stakeholder” councils that would set out to reinvent government.

The same trend continues through both Republican and Democratic leadership, including Presidents George W. Bush and Barack Obama.

As Sustainable Development policies permeate every county in America, it has become apparent that the conflict is not a dynamic of Republican vs. Democrat, liberal vs. conservative, or left vs. right. In fact, the implementation of Sustainable Development is occurring on a bi-partisan basis.



Sustainable Development Land Use Programs

Sustainable Development is a plan for global control including the restriction of land use and resource extraction. The land use element of Sustainable Development calls for the implementation of two action plans designed to abolish private property: the Wildlands Network and Smart Growth. Upon final implementation of these plans all human action is subject to control.

Since all things ultimately come from natural resources on rural lands, the transfer of the landscape from private control to government control will make it easy for government and its partners – NGOs, foundations, businesses, and corporations – to control what we have, what we do, and where we go. The transformation of free societies into collectivized societies through Sustainable Development ensures the dominance of a ruling elite which, by definition, excludes all but a very select few.

The Wildlands Network

The Wildlands Network (aka Wildlands Project) is the plan to eliminate human presence on “at least”




Image 3: Prepared by Dr. Michael Coffman, Environmental Perspectives, Inc.

50 percent of the American landscape,²⁵ and to heavily control human activity on most of the rest of American land. Examples of the piece-by-piece implementation of the Wildlands Network include road closures, the policy of breaching dams and the adoption of United Nations World Heritage Sites – which are systematically being restricted and closed to use.

“Conservation biologists now agree that protecting isolated pockets of habitat isn’t enough to protect our bears, jaguars, beavers, birds and other wildlife – the only way to protect them is to practice conservation on a continental scale,” announced Wildlands Project Executive Director, Leanne Klyza Linck, at the Society of Environmental Journalists Conference on September 12, 2003.

The most significant tools of the Wildlands Network is the rapidly expanding imposition of habitat “protection” provisions under the Endangered Species Act, the adoption of “conservation easements” and direct land acquisitions from battered “willing sellers.”

The Wildlands Network seeks to collectivize *all* natural resources (e.g., water) and centralize all use decisions under bureaucratic direction, often implemented through public private partnerships.

Smart Growth

The rural land use plan embodied in the Wildlands Network is inextricably tied to its urban counterpart, Smart Growth. As human beings are barred from rural land, there will be a concentration of human activity in urban areas. Through Smart Growth, the infrastructure is being created for a post-private property era in which human action is subject to centralized government control. With the combined implementation of Smart Growth and the Wildlands Network, humans will be herded into urban centers and the animals will run free.

Sometimes called “comprehensive planning,” “growth management,”²⁶ “New Urbanism,” or “Sustainable Communities,” Smart Growth is the centralized control of every aspect of urban life: energy and water use, housing stock and allocation, population levels and control, public health and dietary regimens, resources and recycling, “social justice” and education, toxic technology and waste management, transportation modes and mobility restrictions, business and economic activity including capping and trading energy.

25. Reed Noss, who made this assertion in 1992, reiterated his commitment in a recent interview: “Fifty percent is an estimate I made years ago of the proportion of an average region that would need to be managed for conservation in order to meet well-accepted conservation goals ... [It] turns out I was pretty much on the mark ...” (Range Magazine, Fall 2003, p42). Noss has been the Science Editor for Wild Earth, the quarterly publication of the Wildlands Project.

26. “...we call our [U.N. advocated planning] processes something else, such as comprehensive planning, growth management, or smart growth.” Lawrence, J. Gary, op cit.

Smart Growth policies include:

- Transportation plans that reduce freedom of mobility, forcing people to live near where they work, and transforming communities into heavily-regulated but “self-sufficient” feudalistic “transit villages.”
- Programs to herd citizens into tax-subsidized, government controlled, mixed-use developments²⁷ called “human settlements.” These settlements are sometimes distinguished from one another by how productive or useful the citizens are for society.²⁸
- Heavy restrictions on development in most areas and the promotion of extremely dense development, constructed and managed by government “partners” in other selected areas, typically focused on transit hubs.
- Rations on public services, such as health care, drinking water²⁹ and energy resources (and sources).

A typical day in the Orwellian society created by Smart Growth would consist of an individual waking up in her government-provided housing unit, eating a ration of government-subsidized foods purchased at a government-sanctioned grocery store, walking her children (if she has any) to the government-run child care center, boarding government-subsidized public transit to go to her government job, then returning to her quarters later that evening.

27. The lure of paying as little as \$150 per year in taxes on properties valued at \$1.5 million has led to high occupancy in some developments in Portland, Oregon, for example.


28. The Smart Growth plan for Richland County, South Carolina, for example, distinguishes between “employment-based villages,” and “non-employment-based villages,” with special gated communities set aside for the wealthy individuals responsible for the plan. Most of the “non-employment-based villages” are slated to be built in areas currently populated by the descendants of liberated slaves.

29. Reasonable access to water in urban areas is defined as “the availability of 20 litres per capita per day at a distance no longer than 1,000 metres.” Global Water Supply and Assessment Report 2000.

NAFTA Superhighway Trade Corridor and Toll Road System

Terri Hall, founder of Texans Uniting for Reform and Freedom (TexasTURF.org) prepared the following in her article:³⁰

Some have tried to convince the public that the Trans-Texas Corridor and NAFTA Superhighways are dead, never existed or are even a myth. Yet, Congress recently passed a new, two-year federal highway bill called Moving Ahead for Progress in the 21st Century (MAP-21) that not only gives priority funding to these “high priority” trade corridors, but also makes it easier to hand them over to private multi-national corporations using controversial public private partnership contract arrangements that promote and enhance the tolling of America at the taxpayer’s expense. Now three intercontinental U.S. corridors are planned by the federal government, as illustrated by this map.



30. Hall, Terri. “MAP-21: Congress-Obama expand NAFTA Superhighway Trade Corridor and Toll Road System,” July 17, 2012, <http://216.235.200.227/page.aspx?pid=668>.

What You Can Do

“Once again a majority of this court has proved that if enough people get together and act in concert, they can take something and not pay for it. ... But theft is still theft. Theft is theft even when the government approves of the thievery. ... Turning a democracy into a kleptocracy does not enhance the stature of the thieves; it only diminishes the legitimacy of the government.”

*– Justice Janice Brown,
dissenting opinion,*

*San Remo Hotel v. City and County of San Francisco*³¹

Sustainable Development is restructuring our lives and is targeting our children through an educational regime that seeks to develop collectivist attitudes, values and beliefs. Sustainable Development documents call for the abolishment of private property³² and the freedom that private property supports. It supplants long-standing state laws and causes irreparable harm to our economy and our society. If individual members of our society do nothing, the continuing loss of liberty will result in increasing social confusion and discord, rising resource shortages, financial decay and a dimming future for us and our posterity.

The looming battle of ideas should be recognized as a classic – and perhaps ultimate – battle between Liberty and Tyranny. The social, economic, and political transformations Sustainable Development requires will mean the suppression of unalienable rights for all people.³³

If Americans, with your help, come to a timely understanding of the threat and face the challenge squarely, the deceptive fraud of Sustainable Development will quickly come to light. Together, we will rise to restore Liberty through a renewal of reason and respect for the dignity of individual determination. The future of the freedom once taken for granted in America depends on us recognizing and countering the threats of Sustainable Development.

31. No. S091757., SUPREME COURT OF CALIFORNIA, 27 Cal. 4th 643; 41 P.3d 87, March 8, 2002.

32. Heywood, V.H. (ed.). op cit.

33. For a more comprehensive discussion of this topic, see the Freedom 21 Alternative to the U.N.'s Agenda 21 Program for Sustainable Development. <http://www.freedom21.org/un-alternative.htm>

Six Practical Steps to Restore and Protect Liberty

1. Recognize and resist the trend to replace political boundaries with “regional governance.” Recognize that this form of government leads to a breakdown of accountability to the citizenry. Know, expose and withdraw from your local Council of Governments (COG). Can the COG!
2. Refuse local government receipt of federal or state money for new Sustainable Development programs because they breach the American system of federalism and raid the treasury. Withdraw from established Sustainable Development programs.
3. Avoid local government partnerships with the federal government, NGOs, foundations and corporations that advance the anti-liberty Sustainable Development agenda. Do not surrender your town or county to the insider privilege of Sustainable Developers and their monied interests.
4. Understand and enforce the role of public officials in your community to administer government in a manner that protects individual liberty and ensures equal justice.
5. Know and understand the Constitution, to which elected officials swore an oath with particular attention to Article 1, Section 8, the 9th and 10th Amendments, which address the limitations on federal power, and the 14th Amendment, which limits the states’ police power.
6. Kick ICLEI out of your town and the regional COG organization. Neutralize all ICLEI infected law or “policy.” Learn about your state’s Misprision of Treason statute. See the *ICLEI Primer* and FAQs at: www.FreedomAdvocates.org. Visit the website for more information.